Application No.: 10/795,952 Docket No.: TOW-066RCE

REMARKS

Applicants amend claims 1, and 12 to incorporate the subject matter of claim 5. Claim 5 is canceled. No new matter is added. Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12-13 are presented for examination, of which claims 1, 7, and 12 are independent. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12-13 define over the art of record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants thank the Examiner for deeming the subject matter of claim 5 as allowable. Applicants amend independent claims 1 and 12 to incorporate the subject matter of allowable claim 5. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to pass the claims to allowance.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

The Examiner assets that the limitation wherein said first and second reinforcing films are electrically conductive is not supported by the specification. The Examiner correctly states that the reinforcing films disclosed in the specification are silicon films. However, the Examiner alleges that silicon is not electrically conductive. See Office Action, page 3, first paragraph. It appears that the Examiner is confusing silicon, which is an electrically conductive material, with silicone, which does not conduct electricity.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit the claims are properly supported by the Specification. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph and pass the claims to allowance.

Application No.: 10/795,952 Docket No.: TOW-066RCE

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner rejects claims 1-4, 6, 12 and 13 under various 35 U.S.C. §103 rejections. Applicants amended independent claims 1 and 12 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 5. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-4, 6, 12 and 13 are in condition for allowance. Applicants request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 12 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and pass the claims to allowance.

Application No.: 10/795,952 Docket No.: TOW-066RCE

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicants submit herewith a Request for Continued Examination and a petition for one-month extension of time. Applicants believe no other fee is due with this statement. However, if an additional fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 12-0080, under Order No. TOW-066RCE from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: July 30, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

By: /Anthony A. Laurentano/ Anthony A. Laurentano Registration No.: 38,220 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP One Post Office Square Boston, Massachusetts 02109-2127 (617) 227-7400 (617) 742-4214 (Fax) Attorney/Agent For Applicant